

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. (2023) www.viapto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Donald Grindstaff	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09:770,031	01/25/2001		707.001US1	5215	
75	03 26 2002				
Mark A. Litman & Associates, P.A.			EXAMINER		
York Business Center, Suite 205 3209 West 76th St.			WONG,	LESLIE A	
Edina, MN 554	435		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. 09/770,031	Applicant(s)	Grindstaff	et al)	4
Office Action Summary			rt Unit			
•	Examiner Leslie Wo		1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspo	ondence addr	ess		
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this confirm of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statement of the communication. 	mmunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and v	atutory minimum o	of thirty (30) d	ays will	ling date	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	after the mailing date of this o	ommunication, ev	en if timely fil	ed, may	reduce a	iny
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						- •
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) \overline{X} T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				ne merit	s is	
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>		is/are p	ending in th	e applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn f	rom coi	nsidera	tion.
5) _ Claim(s)		is	/are allowed	۱.		
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>		is	/are rejected	d .		
7) Claim(s)		is	/are objecte	d to.		
8) Claims	are sul	oject to restricti	on and/or el	ection r	equiren	nent.
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the	Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗓	\exists approved b) disappro	ved.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the Internation: *See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	his National	Stage		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do).			
Attachment(s)						
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) [[] Interview Summa	iry (PTO-413) Paper Ni	o(s).			
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informa					

17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) [] Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/770031

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kuraishi et al.

Kuraishi et al teach a process for producing cheese using transglutaminase where the transglutaminase is added after curd formation in the amounts claimed (see entire patent, especially Example 1).

The claims appear to differ as to the surface area.

The claimed surface area would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Kuraishi et al as the same amounts and process steps are utilized.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/770031 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Righi et al disclose cross-linking in cheese with transglutaminase.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LAW

March 21, 2002